Approved For Release 2008/11/19: CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9

Als. file in

ANO folder

Als.

STAT

STAT



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

NATIONAL SECURITY AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

B-215075

JUN 1 1 1984

The Honorable Jack Brooks Chairman, Committee on Government Operations House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Subject: Polygraph and Prepublication Review Policies of Federal Agencies

(GAO/NSIAD-84-134)

The April 4, 1984, letter from you and the Chairman, Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, requested that we assist the committees in their on-going efforts examining the effects of National Security Decision Directive -84 (NSDD-84). (See encl. V.)

On April 23, 1984, you and Chairman Ford sent a questionnaire to those agencies and offices that handle classified
information. The major purpose of the questionnaire was to
determine the effect of the two provisions of NSDD-84 that
require (1) all individuals with sensitive compartmented information (SCI) access to sign a nondisclosure agreement containing
a prepublication review requirement and (2) all agencies to
revise existing policies and regulations as necessary so that
employees could be required to submit to a polygraph examination
during the course of an investigation of an unauthorized
disclosure of classified information.

Most of the information you requested from the agencies is included in summary form in enclosure I. It includes actual figures as well as estimated figures where data were not readily available. As agreed with your office, we did not verify the information reported by the agencies, but we did request clarification in many cases.

Agencies were asked to respond to the questionnaire within 15 days. By June 5, however, (six weeks after your request) eight agencies and offices had not responded; therefore, data pertaining to them is not included in the information contained in this report. The eight are: the U.S. Arms Control and

(391509)

Disarmament Agency, Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, Interstate Commerce Commission, Department of Justice, Office of Management and Budget, U.S. Trade Representative, and the Executive Office of the President. Forty-three agencies responded to the questionnaire, including a partial response of the Department of the Treasury. We counted the Department of Defense, including the military services, as one response. This report does not include data on the National Security Agency and Central Intelligence Agency because of the sensitivity of their operations.

The following sections summarize information relative to prepublication review requirements, unauthorized disclosures of classified information, and polygraph examinations.

Prepublication Review Requirements

Most agency employees who had access to sensitive compartmented information (SCI), already had signed nondisclosure agreements (Form 4193 or a form similar thereto) with the prepublication review requirement before the President suspended indefinitely that provision of NSDD-84 on February 15, 1984. Twenty-three agencies reported that, as of December 31, 1983, 119,000 of their employees had SCI access and almost all had signed the agreements which contain the lifetime prepublication review requirement. An unknown number of former employees also had signed the agreements. The Department of Defense estimated that, of 156,000 military and civilian employees who had signed agreements, about 45,000 were former employees and employees reassigned to duties not requiring SCI access.

It is also possible that employees working on other than SCI special access programs had signed agreements similar to those used for SCI access. According to Executive Order 12356, which took effect August 1, 1982, an agency head may create a special access program when (1) normal management and safeguarding procedures do not limit access sufficiently and (2) the number of persons with access is limited to the minimum necessary to meet the objective of providing extra protection of the information. At the end of calendar year 1983, there were about 100 non-SCI special access programs, compared to about 30 in 1979. Agencies reported that 27,500 government employees and 21,600 contractor employees were involved in non-SCI special access programs. (See encl. II).

The Federal Emergency Management Agency told us that a prepublication review requirement is part of the agreement that individuals must sign for access to two of its special access programs. (At the present time, however, those subject to this provision may delete that section of the agreement pertinent to prepublication review). We do not know how many more special access programs may contain similar prepublication review requirements.

Twelve agencies indicated that, regardless of whether they have SCI access, employees must comply with prepublication review requirements. In most cases, however, agencies do not require employees to sign nondisclosure agreements as part of these prepublication review requirements. Further, the requirements imposed by these regulations do not apply to former employees. (See encl. III.)

Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Information

Four agencies (the Departments of Commerce, Defense, and State, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) reported having 43 unauthorized disclosures of classified information during calendar year 1983. One was made through a published writing or speech (by a then-current employee of a contractor). None were made by former employees through published writings or speeches.

Polygraph Examinations

Nine agencies told us that their employees were given 11,178 polygraph examinations in calendar year 1983, and four of the nine employed a total of 131 polygraph operators (see encl. IV). Of these agencies, the Department of Defense employed 123 polygraph operators and gave 10,502 examinations. Practically all examinations given by the other agencies were given in connection with criminal or specific-incident investigations. The General Services Administration and Postal Service have regulations that limit the voluntary use of the polygraph to criminal investigations.

The number of pre-access screening examinations given by the Department of Defense in 1981, 1982, and 1983 were as follows:

	1981	1982	<u>1983</u>
Total number of polygraph examinations	6,556	8,657	10,502
Examinations for pre-access screening	45	1,176	3,105

The pre-access screening examinations given in 1983 include about 3,000 examinations that are part of an Air Force program testing the use of the polygraph.

When asked about plans to employ additional polygraph operators, Defense stated that it plans to hire 50 additional operators, and that these operators would permit an additional 10,000 screening type examinations annually.

B - 215075

Although the Department of Justice did not respond in time for inclusion of its data in this report, we understand that Justice and the Department of the Treasury also use the polygraph for pre-access screening.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency stated that, although it did not employ polygraph operators or have a contract for polygraph service, it had plans to institute polygraph screening examinations in connection with two of its non-SCI special access programs. However, the Agency said that it was holding in abeyance a final decision on its plans, pending resolution of the legal issues involving use of the polygraph.

The Tennessee Valley Authority, which had been using the polygraph in connection with criminal investigations, told us that it was discontinuing all polygraph use.

Copies of this report are being sent to all agencies that provided information and to other interested parties upon request.

Sincerely yours,

Frank C. Conahan

Director

Enclosures - 5

Responses to Questions Of The
House Committee on Government Operations
And The
House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service

(All 51 executive branch agencies that handle classified information were queried; however, 8 did not respond. The responses from the remaining 43 are included below).

QUESTION 1

Approximately how many full- and part-time people were employed by the federal government as of December 31, 1983?

RESPONSE

In the 43 agencies that responded, there were 5,025,580 federal civilian and military personnel.

QUESTION 2

Approximately how many federal employees and contractor employees had security clearances as of December 31, 1983?

RESPONSE

There were about 2.6 million federal and 1.3 million contractor employees, of the 43 responding agencies, with security clearances at the levels shown below.

DCVCI OI	ntractor ployees
Top Secret 517,578	111,912
Secret 2,129,557	904,540
Confidential 33,286	304,852
Total 2,680,421 1,3	321,304

Of the total number of federal employees--5,025,580, about 10 percent had top secret clearances and 42 percent had secret clearances.

How many federal and contractor employees had access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) as of December 31, 1983?

RESPONSE

There were a total of 118,899 federal employees and 11,041 contractor employees with SCI access.

QUESTION 4

Do agencies consider SCI to be a special access program? Under what authority?

RESPONSE

- Eighteen agencies considered SCI to be a special access program. They cited the following authorities:

 - --Executive Order 12356 --The Director of Central Intelligence
 - --National Security Decision Directive -84
 - --Director of Central Intelligence Directive 1/14

QUESTION 5

How many agencies have special access programs as authorized under Executive Order 12356 or similar programs under some other authority?

RESPONSE

Six agencies had special access programs or participated in such programs of other agencies.

QUESTION 6

How many agencies used the polygraph during calendar year 1983?

RESPONSE

Nine agencies used the polygraph or had their employees take polygraph examinations administered by other agencies in calendar year 1983.

QUESTION 7

How many polygraph operators did agencies employ as of December 31, 1983, and how many operators did they have under contract?

RESPONSE

As of December 31, 1983, four agencies employed a total of 131 polygraph operators, and had 2 under contract.

QUESTION 8

Do agencies expect to hire or contract for additional polygraph operators? How many and why?

RESPONSE

The Department of Defense expects to hire an additional 50 examiners in order to conduct 10,000 more screening polygraph examinations.

The Postal Service expects to hire 3 more polygraph operators. This is to improve turnaround time on examinations and reduce operator workload.

QUESTION 9

How many polygraph machines did agencies own as of December 31, 1983? How many did they procure during calendar year 1983, and how many more do they expect to procure?

RESPONSE

Four agencies owned a total of 256 polygraph machines as of December 31, 1983. They procured 2 of these during calendar year 1983. They expect to procure 30 more polygraph machines in the future.

QUESTION 10

Why were polygraph examinations of agency employees conducted? How many of these examinations were conducted of agency employees for calendar years 1979 through 1983? How many were conducted by the agency and how many were conducted by other agencies or by contractors?

RESPONSE

The following tabulation shows the number of polygraph examinations given to employees of the nine agencies for various reasons during calendar years 1979 through 1983:

	1979	1980	<u>1981</u>	1982	1983
Criminal or Specific incident investigations					
Conducted by agency	6,697	7,256	6,909	7,802	7,657
Conducted by other agencies or contractors	4	8	16	27	34
Pre-employment screening					
Conducted by agency	13	18	13	28	16
Conducted by other agencies or contractors	0	0	0	0	1
Pre-access screening					
Conducted by agency	65	53	45	1,176	3,105
Conducted by other agencies or contractors	0	0	0	0	3
Subsequent screening					
Conducted by agency	0	0	0	0	0
Conducted by other agencies or contractors	0	0	2	2	1
Other					
Conducted by agency Conducted by other agencies	242	271	302	298	361
or contractors	0	0	0	0	0
Total	7,021	7,606	7,287	9,333	11,178

How many agencies require employees to submit to any prepublication review procedure (other than to review official statements on behalf of the agency)? How many employees do these procedures cover?

RESPONSE

Twelve agencies required their employees to submit to prepublication review. Prepublication review procedures cover 3,423,418 agency employees.

For each calendar year since 1979, how many books, articles, speeches, and other materials were reviewed during the prepublication review process?

RESPONSE

The following tabulations show the types and quantities of information reviewed during calendar years 1979 through 1983. Separate tabulations are shown for the Department of Defense (DOD) and the other respondents because DOD combined books and articles and because the Department of the Army responded in number of pages reviewed for 1982 and 1983.

The	Depa	rtment	of D	efense

	1979	1980	<u>1981</u>	1982	1983
Books/Articles	2,994	3,133	2,784	6,457	10,088
Speeches	1,320	1,360	871	2,237	2,020
Other	4,816	4,344	5,178	4,713	5,102
No. of pagesArmy				92,918	77,404

Other 11 Respondents

	<u>1979</u>	<u>1980</u>	<u>1981</u>	1982	1983
Books	18	22	19	17	34
Articles	4,754	4,774	4,814	5,363	5,461
Speeches	11	50	43	352	365
Other	5,013	5,018	5,009	5,371	5,294

QUESTION 13

What was the average number of working days that elapsed from the date of receipt of a request for prepublication review of a document to the date that the requestor was informed of the final results?

RESPONSE

The 12 agencies reported the following range of time (in days) for the prepublication review process:

Number of days

Books	2	to	20
Articles	1	to	11
Speeches	2	to	10
Others	4	to	8

QUESTION 14

During calendar year 1983, approximately how many employees were assigned and how many working days were used for prepublication reviews?

RESPONSE

Responding agencies assigned a total of about 211 full-time employees and used 5,268 working days for prepublication reviews.

QUESTION 15

How many unauthorized disclosures of classified information were there during calendar year 1983? How many of these were not reported to the Department of Justice?

RESPONSE

Four agencies reported 43 unauthorized disclosures of classified information. Of these, 34 were not reported to the Department of Justice.

QUESTION 16

How many unauthorized disclosures of classified information were made through books, articles, or speeches, written by then-current employees or former employees during calendar year 1983?

RESPONSE

One of the 43 identified in question 15 was made through a speech or publication by a then-current employee of a contractor.

Approved For Release 2008/11/19: CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9

Employees with Clearances, SCI Access, and Non-SCI Access

	TOTAL	DEFENSE	STATE	TREASURY	INTERIOR	NASA	FEMA	OTHER AGENCIES
Number of agency employees	5,025,580	3,317,086	24,016	124,287	74,482	22,000	2,357	1,461,352
Level of Clearance:								
Agency employees:								
Top secret	517,578	480,360	13,938	6,871	596	523	1,726	13,564
Secret	2,129,557	2,066,643	733	2,993	1,658	15,706	572	41,252
Confidential	33,286	28,160	0	274	104	719	0	4,029
Contractor employees:								
Top secret	111,912	111,000	0	183	0	0	400	329
Secret	904,540	900,000	0	0	3	0	250	4,287
Confidential	304,852	304,800	0	0	0	0	0	52
SCI access:								
Agency employees	118,899	111,167	4,350	1,733	229	280	199	941
Contractor employees	11,041	10,808	200	2	0	29	1	1
Employees assigned to non-SCI special access programs:								•
Agency employees	27,530	26,559	0	0	0	0	904	67
Contractor employees	21,587	21,250	0	0	0	0	337	0

Approved For Release 2008/11/19 : CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9

Agencies Involved in Prepublication Review

		Agencies Involved in Prepublication Review					Review			EN	
;											CLOS
		Defense	State	NASA	<u>TVA</u>	USIA	AID	SEC	Fed. Reserve	Overseas Private Investment Corp.	ENCLOSURE III
No. of employees cove	ered by										
agency directive	-	All	All	All	All	All	All	A11	All	All	
Quantity of material	reviewed in										
1983:	Books	10,088 ^a	10	15	0	5	1	2	1	0	
	Articles		269	4,500	600	24	15	7	45	1	
	Speeches	2,020	14	0	280	33	30	8	0	0	
	Other	5,102 ^b	0	5,000	0	0	60	36	0	0	
	No. of pages	77,404									
1982:	Books	6,457 ^a	1	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	Articles		202	4,500	600	15	35	10	0	. 1	
	Speeches	2,237	8	0	280	25	30	9	0	0	
	Other	4,713	0	5,000	0	0	101	27	0	0	
	No. of pages	92,918 ^b									
No. of employees ass	igned to										
prepublication re		80	86	0	40	2	1	1	1	1	
No. of working days	used for										
prepublication re		4,459	205	100	55	8	5	10	-	1	
Average no. of worki for each type of m	ng days used										
101 0000 01F0 00 00	Books	17.8	20	10	0	10	2	20	10	0	E
	Articles	10.7	10	10	3	2	3	10	3	1	ñ
	Speeches	5.3	5	10	3	2	3	5	0	0	C
	Other	5.2	ő	10	ő	Õ	4	8	Ō	0	38
		J. 2	•			_					ENCLOSURE
aDefense's response	combined books an	d articles	-								III
bThe Department of t	he Army responded	in number of	t pages r	eviewed.							H

Note: Three agencies—the Department of Education, Peace Corps and the Federal Emergency Management Agency—reported having prepublication review requirements but did not report any activity.

ENCLOSURE IV

Services Admin. yes yes 4 3 0 0 0

0

0

0

General

Dept.

Comm.

yes

no

2

0

0

0

0

0

0

of

Agency plans:

Agencies using polygraph

Types of examinations: Criminal or specific Incident

Pre-access screening

Agency polygraph operators

Agency polygraph machines: owned as of December 31,

purchased in

- 1. The Department of Defense anticipates hiring 50 additional polygraph operators to permit 10,000 screening type examinations.
- 2. The Postal Service expects to hire 3 additional operators.

1983

1982

1983

1982

1981

1983

1982

1981

1983

1982

1983

1983

The Federal Emergency Management Agency plans to institute polygraph examinations in the future, but the final decision is pending until legal issues involving the use of the polygraph are resolved.

Approved For Release 2008/11/19: CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9

Tenn.

Valley

Auth.

yes

yes

14

3

0

0

0

0

0

0

Dept.

Labor

yes

no

7

2

0

0

0

2

2

0

of

Fed.

Res.

System

yes

no

2

0

0

0

0

0

0

Dept.

of

State

ves

no

5

0

2

0

0

0

Postal

Service

yes

yes

623

645

0

0

6

16

0

Polygraph Use by Nine Agencies

Dept.

Trans.

yes

yes

6

6

0

0

0

0

of

Dept.

of

Defense

yes

yes

7028

7155

6196

3105

1176

45

123

112

238

2

TOTAL

9

88

7691

7829

6925

3108

1176

45

131

194

256

2

The Tennessee Valley Authority does not anticipate using the polygraph in the future.

aliculdes the Departments of Justice and Treasury, as reported to us last year. Current information concerning polygraph use by these agencies was not received in time for inclusion in the chart.

ENCLOSURE Approved For Release 2008/11/19: CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9 LOSURE V

MAJORITY MINNSER

JACE SROOKS, TELL CHAPMAN

DANTE R. FASCELL, FLA.

DON PUOLIA, FLA.

JOHN CONTENS. JE. MICH.

CARDISS COLLIMIS. BL.

GLEMI ENGLISH, ORLA.

BLLIGHT H. LLEVITAS, GA.

HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIF.

TED WERS, B.Y.

IMEL SYNAR OKLA.

STEPHEN L. NELA, I.C.

DOUG BARNARD, JR. GA.

BARNEY FRANK, MASS.

TOM LANTOS, CALIF.

ROMALD B. COLEMAN, TEL.

ROSERT E. WES, JR. W. WA.

BARBARA BOXER, CALIF.

BLIOTY MASSAY, FLA.

IME. LEVINE, CALIF.

MAJOR R. OWENS, M.Y.

EDOLPHILE TOWER, M.Y.

EDOLPHILE TOWER, M.Y.

EDOLPHILE TOWER, M.Y.

EDOLPHILE TOWER, M.Y.

EJOHN M. SPOATT, JR., S.G.

JOH KOLTER, PA.

ANN ENDRECH, MA.

NINETY-EIGHTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

April 4, 1984

MINIORITY MEMBERS
PRANK MOSTORI, R.Y.
JOHN R. BRUDNOCHI, EL.
THOMAS R. KINDNESS, OMD
ROBERT S. WALLER, PA.
LYLE WALLAMS, OND
WILLIAM F. CLINGST, JR., PA.
RAYMOND J. MEGRATH, R.Y.
JUDG GREGS, N.A.
DAN BLITTORI, MG.
JOHN R. MELSPHAR, JR., MAME
TOM LEWIS, P.A.
ALPRED A. (AL) MICCANDLESS, CALE,
LANNY E. CRACE, IDANO
DAN SCHAEFER, COLG.

MAJORITY-228-8081

The Honorable Charles A. Bowsher Comptroller General General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Dear General:

In January, H.R. 4681, the Federal Polygraph Limitation and Anti-Censorship Act of 1984, was introduced and referred to the Post Office and Civil Service Committee where it is now under active consideration. This bill is a comprehensive response to the Administration's polygraph and censorship policies, both the National Security Directive 84, issued by the President, and those designed and implemented independently at the agency level.

Recently, the President announced his intention to suspend the polygraph and prepublication censorship portions of his National Security Directive through this session of Congress. The effects, however, of this suspension on the polygraph and censorship policies which were not contained in the President's Directive are not clear. In order that this legislation be properly evaluated, it is necessary that Congress be fully apprised of the effects, if any, the suspension of the President's National Security Directive 84 has on the current polygraph and prepublication censorship policies in effect at the agencies of the Federal Government and on any proposed changes in those policies contemplated at this time.

To this end we request that the General Accounting Office update its survey of the Federal agencies conducted in preparation for hearings held last October by the Government Operations committee on these issues. Along with this update, it is requested that the GAO also make broader inquiry into the current use of polygraphs and prepublication censorship requirements by the agencies, any proposed changes in those policies and their likely effects, and the effect, if any, the President's suspension of his NSD 84 has on those current or proposed policies.

It is important that this investigation be given prompt attention. Congressional moritoriums on proposed changes to the Department of Defense's polygraph regulations and certain prepublication censorship requirements will expire on April 15. It is, therefore, hoped that you will find it possible to devote maximum staff resources in this effort. Thank you very much for your attention to this request. With every good wish, we are

WILLIAM D. FORD, Chairman Committee on the Post Office and Civil Service

JACK BROOKS, Chairman

Sincerely, Wood

Committee on Government Operations



UNITED STATES GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

NATIONAL SECURITY AND INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS DIVISION

B-215075

JUN 1 1 1984

The Honorable Jack Brooks
Chairman, Committee on Government
Operations
House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Subject: Polygraph and Prepublication Review Policies of Federal Agencies

(GAO/NSIAD-84-134)

The April 4, 1984, letter from you and the Chairman, Committee on Post Office and Civil Service, requested that we assist the committees in their on-going efforts examining the effects of National Security Decision Directive -84 (NSDD-84). (See encl. V.)

On April 23, 1984, you and Chairman Ford sent a question-naire to those agencies and offices that handle classified information. The major purpose of the questionnaire was to determine the effect of the two provisions of NSDD-84 that require (1) all individuals with sensitive compartmented information (SCI) access to sign a nondisclosure agreement containing a prepublication review requirement and (2) all agencies to revise existing policies and regulations as necessary so that employees could be required to submit to a polygraph examination during the course of an investigation of an unauthorized disclosure of classified information.

Most of the information you requested from the agencies is included in summary form in enclosure I. It includes actual figures as well as estimated figures where data were not readily available. As agreed with your office, we did not verify the information reported by the agencies, but we did request clarification in many cases.

Agencies were asked to respond to the questionnaire within 15 days. By June 5, however, (six weeks after your request) eight agencies and offices had not responded; therefore, data pertaining to them is not included in the information contained in this report. The eight are: the U.S. Arms Control and

(391509)

Disarmament Agency, Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency, Interstate Commerce Commission, Department of Justice, Office of Management and Budget, U.S. Trade Representative, and the Executive Office of the President. Forty-three agencies responded to the questionnaire, including a partial response of the Department of the Treasury. We counted the Department of Defense, including the military services, as one response. This report does not include data on the National Security Agency and Central Intelligence Agency because of the sensitivity of their operations.

The following sections summarize information relative to prepublication review requirements, unauthorized disclosures of classified information, and polygraph examinations.

Prepublication Review Requirements

Most agency employees who had access to sensitive compartmented information (SCI), already had signed nondisclosure agreements (Form 4193 or a form similar thereto) with the prepublication review requirement before the President suspended indefinitely that provision of NSDD-84 on February 15, 1984. Twenty-three agencies reported that, as of December 31, 1983, 119,000 of their employees had SCI access and almost all had signed the agreements which contain the lifetime prepublication review requirement. An unknown number of former employees also had signed the agreements. The Department of Defense estimated that, of 156,000 military and civilian employees who had signed agreements, about 45,000 were former employees and employees reassigned to duties not requiring SCI access.

It is also possible that employees working on other than SCI special access programs had signed agreements similar to those used for SCI access. According to Executive Order 12356, which took effect August 1, 1982, an agency head may create a special access program when (1) normal management and safeguarding procedures do not limit access sufficiently and (2) the number of persons with access is limited to the minimum necessary to meet the objective of providing extra protection of the information. At the end of calendar year 1983, there were about 100 non-SCI special access programs, compared to about 30 in 1979. Agencies reported that 27,500 government employees and 21,600 contractor employees were involved in non-SCI special access programs. (See encl. II).

The Federal Emergency Management Agency told us that a prepublication review requirement is part of the agreement that individuals must sign for access to two of its special access programs. (At the present time, however, those subject to this provision may delete that section of the agreement pertinent to prepublication review). We do not know how many more special access programs may contain similar prepublication review requirements.

Twelve agencies indicated that, regardless of whether they have SCI access, employees must comply with prepublication review requirements. In most cases, however, agencies do not require employees to sign nondisclosure agreements as part of these prepublication review requirements. Further, the requirements imposed by these regulations do not apply to former employees. (See encl. III.)

Unauthorized Disclosures of Classified Information

Four agencies (the Departments of Commerce, Defense, and State, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission) reported having 43 unauthorized disclosures of classified information during calendar year 1983. One was made through a published writing or speech (by a then-current employee of a contractor). None were made by former employees through published writings or speeches.

Polygraph Examinations

Nine agencies told us that their employees were given 11,178 polygraph examinations in calendar year 1983, and four of the nine employed a total of 131 polygraph operators (see encl. IV). Of these agencies, the Department of Defense employed 123 polygraph operators and gave 10,502 examinations. Practically all examinations given by the other agencies were given in connection with criminal or specific-incident investigations. The General Services Administration and Postal Service have regulations that limit the voluntary use of the polygraph to criminal investigations.

The number of pre-access screening examinations given by the Department of Defense in 1981, 1982, and 1983 were as follows:

	1981	<u>1982</u>	1983
Total number of polygraph examinations	6,556	8,657	10,502
Examinations for pre-access screening	45	1,176	3,105

The pre-access screening examinations given in 1983 include about 3,000 examinations that are part of an Air Force program testing the use of the polygraph.

When asked about plans to employ additional polygraph operators, Defense stated that it plans to hire 50 additional operators, and that these operators would permit an additional 10,000 screening type examinations annually.

B-215075

Although the Department of Justice did not respond in time for inclusion of its data in this report, we understand that Justice and the Department of the Treasury also use the polygraph for pre-access screening.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency stated that, although it did not employ polygraph operators or have a contract for polygraph service, it had plans to institute polygraph screening examinations in connection with two of its non-SCI special access programs. However, the Agency said that it was holding in abeyance a final decision on its plans, pending resolution of the legal issues involving use of the polygraph.

The Tennessee Valley Authority, which had been using the polygraph in connection with criminal investigations, told us that it was discontinuing all polygraph use.

Copies of this report are being sent to all agencies that provided information and to other interested parties upon request.

Sincerely yours,

Frank C. Conahan

Director

Enclosures - 5

Responses to Questions Of The
House Committee on Government Operations
And The
House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service

(All 51 executive branch agencies that handle classified information were queried; however, 8 did not respond. The responses from the remaining 43 are included below).

QUESTION 1

Approximately how many full- and part-time people were employed by the federal government as of December 31, 1983?

RESPONSE

In the 43 agencies that responded, there were 5,025,580 federal civilian and military personnel.

QUESTION 2

Approximately how many federal employees and contractor employees had security clearances as of December 31, 1983?

RESPONSE

There were about 2.6 million federal and 1.3 million contractor employees, of the 43 responding agencies, with security clearances at the levels shown below.

Level of clearance	Federal employees	Contractor employees
Top Secret	517,578	111,912
Secret	2,129,557	904,540
Confidential	33,286	304,852
Total	2,680,421	1,321,304

Of the total number of federal employees--5,025,580, about 10 percent had top secret clearances and 42 percent had secret clearances.

How many federal and contractor employees had access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) as of December 31, 1983?

RESPONSE

There were a total of 118,899 federal employees and 11,041 contractor employees with SCI access.

QUESTION 4

Do agencies consider SCI to be a special access program? Under what authority?

RESPONSE

- Eighteen agencies considered SCI to be a special access program. They cited the following authorities:
 - -- Executive Order 12356
 - -- The Director of Central Intelligence
 - --National Security Decision Directive -84
 - -- Director of Central Intelligence Directive 1/14

QUESTION 5

How many agencies have special access programs as authorized under Executive Order 12356 or similar programs under some other authority?

RESPONSE

Six agencies had special access programs or participated in such programs of other agencies.

QUESTION 6

How many agencies used the polygraph during calendar year 1983?

RESPONSE

Nine agencies used the polygraph or had their employees take polygraph examinations administered by other agencies in calendar year 1983.

QUESTION 7

How many polygraph operators did agencies employ as of December 31, 1983, and how many operators did they have under contract?

RESPONSE

As of December 31, 1983, four agencies employed a total of 131 polygraph operators, and had 2 under contract.

QUESTION 8

Do agencies expect to hire or contract for additional polygraph operators? How many and why?

RESPONSE

The Department of Defense expects to hire an additional 50 examiners in order to conduct 10,000 more screening polygraph examinations.

The Postal Service expects to hire 3 more polygraph operators. This is to improve turnaround time on examinations and reduce operator workload.

QUESTION 9

How many polygraph machines did agencies own as of December 31, 1983? How many did they procure during calendar year 1983, and how many more do they expect to procure?

RESPONSE

Four agencies owned a total of 256 polygraph machines as of December 31, 1983. They procured 2 of these during calendar year 1983. They expect to procure 30 more polygraph machines in the future.

QUESTION 10

Why were polygraph examinations of agency employees conducted? How many of these examinations were conducted of agency employees for calendar years 1979 through 1983? How many were conducted by the agency and how many were conducted by other agencies or by contractors?

RESPONSE

The following tabulation shows the number of polygraph examinations given to employees of the nine agencies for various reasons during calendar years 1979 through 1983:

	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
Criminal or Specific incident investigations					
Conducted by agency Conducted by other agencies	6,697	7,256	6,909	7,802	7,657
or contractors	4	8	16	27	34
Pre-employment screening					
Conducted by agency Conducted by other agencies	13	18	13	28	16
or contractors	0	0	0	0	1
Pre-access screening					
Conducted by agency Conducted by other agencies	65	53	45	1,176	3,105
or contractors	0	0	0	0	3
Subsequent screening					
Conducted by agency Conducted by other agencies	0	0	0	0	0
or contractors	0	0	2	2	1
Other					
Conducted by agency Conducted by other agencies	242	271	302	298	361
or contractors	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Total</u>	7,021	7,606	7,287	9,333	11,178

How many agencies require employees to submit to any prepublication review procedure (other than to review official statements on behalf of the agency)? How many employees do these procedures cover?

RESPONSE

Twelve agencies required their employees to submit to prepublication review. Prepublication review procedures cover 3,423,418 agency employees.

For each calendar year since 1979, how many books, articles, speeches, and other materials were reviewed during the prepublication review process?

RESPONSE

The following tabulations show the types and quantities of information reviewed during calendar years 1979 through 1983. Separate tabulations are shown for the Department of Defense (DOD) and the other respondents because DOD combined books and articles and because the Department of the Army responded in number of pages reviewed for 1982 and 1983.

The	Depar	tment	of	Defense

	<u> 1979</u>	1980	1981	1982	<u>1983</u>
Books/Articles	2,994	3,133	2,784	6,457	10,088
Speeches	1,320	1,360	871	2,237	2,020
Other	4,816	4,344	5,178	4,713	5,102
No. of pagesArmy				92,918	77,404

Other 11 Respondents

	<u>1979</u>	1980	1981	1982	1983
Books	18	22	19	17	34
Articles	4,754	4,774	4,814	5,363	5,461
Speeches	11	50	43	352	365
Other	5,013	5,018	5,009	5,371	5,294

QUESTION 13

What was the average number of working days that elapsed from the date of receipt of a request for prepublication review of a document to the date that the requestor was informed of the final results?

RESPONSE

The 12 agencies reported the following range of time (in days) for the prepublication review process:

Number of	da	ys	
Books	2	to	20
Articles		to	
Speeches	2	to	10
Others	4	to	8

During calendar year 1983, approximately how many employees were assigned and how many working days were used for prepublication reviews?

RESPONSE

Responding agencies assigned a total of about 211 full-time employees and used 5,268 working days for prepublication reviews.

QUESTION 15

How many unauthorized disclosures of classified information were there during calendar year 1983? How many of these were not reported to the Department of Justice?

RESPONSE

Four agencies reported 43 unauthorized disclosures of classified information. Of these, 34 were not reported to the Department of Justice.

QUESTION 16

How many unauthorized disclosures of classified information were made through books, articles, or speeches, written by then-current employees or former employees during calendar year 1983?

RESPONSE

One of the 43 identified in question 15 was made through a speech or publication by a then-current employee of a contractor.

Access	
nd Non-SCI	
Access, a	
ပ္ပင္	
Clearances,	
with th	
yees	
imp loyees	

								ОТИЕВ	
	TOTAL	DEFENSE	STATE	TREASURY	INTERIOR	NASA	FEMA	AGENCIES	
Number of agency employees	5,025,580	3,317,086	24,016	124,287	74,482	22,000	2,357	1,461,352	
Level of Clearance: Agency employees:	517.578	480,360	13,938	6,871	596	523	1,726	13,564	
Carrat	2.129.557	2.066,643	733	2,993	1,658	15,706	572	41,252	
Confidential	33,286	28,160	0	274	104	719	0	4,029	
Contractor amployees:								;	
Too secret	111,912	000,111	0	183	0	0	4 00	329	
Secret	904,540	000,006	0	0	•	0	250	4,287	
Confidential	304,852	304,800	0	0	0	0	0	52	
SCI access:	900	791 111	450	1 753	229	280	8	941	
Agency employees Contractor employees	11,041	10,808	200	2	0	58	-	-	
Employees assigned to								-	
Agency employees	27,530	26,559	0	0	0	0	8	19	
Contractor employees	21,587	21,250	0	0	0	0	337	0	

Approved For Release 2008/11/19: CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9

Agencies Involved in Prepublication Review

Overseas Private Investment Corp.	All	0-00	0-00	-	-	0-00
Fed. Reserve	A11	45 0 0	0000	-	ı	01 0 0
SEC	A11	2 7 8 36	0 10 9 27	-	10	20 10 5 8
AID	A11	1 15 30 60	0 35 30 101	-	κ	0 E E 4
USIA	A11	24 33 0	1 15 25 0	2	œ	10 2 0
TVA	A11	0 600 280 0	0 600 280 0	40	55	0 m m 0
NASA	A11	15 4,500 0 5,000	15 4,500 0 5,000	0	100	01 01 01
State	A11	10 269 14 0	1 202 8 0	98	205	20 10 5
Defense	A11	10,088a 2,020 5,102b 77,404	6,457a 2,237 4,713 92,918b	80	4,459	17.8 10.7 5.3 5.2
	No. of employees covered by agency directive	Quantity of material reviewed in 1983: Books Articles Speeches Other No. of pages	1982: Books Articles Speeches Other No. of pages	No. of employees assigned to prepublication review	No. of working days used for prepublication review	Average no. of working days used for each type of material: Books Articles Speeches Other

bine Department of the Army responded in number of pages reviewed. abefense's response combined books and articles

Three agencies—the Department of Education, Peace Corps and the Federal Emergency Management Agency—reported having prepublication review requirements but did not report any activity. Note:

Agencles
y Nine
h Use t
Polygrap

	Agencies using polygraph 1983 1982	Types of examinations: Criminal or specific 1983	1982	Pre-access screening 1983 1982 1981	Agency polygraph operators 1983	Agency polygraph machines: owned as of December 31, 1983 purchased in 1983
TOTAL	O	7691	7829 6925	3108 1176 45	131	256 2
Dept. of Defense	yes	7028	7155 6196	3105 1176 45	123	238 2
Dept. of Trans.	yes	9	41 9	000	00	00
Tenn. Valley Auth.	yes	7	7	000	00	0 0
Dept. of Labor	yes	7	2 2	000	0 %	7 0
Fed. Res. System	yes	2	00	000	00	00
Dept. of State	yes	ĸ	0 %	0 0 0	00	0 0
Postal Service	yes	623	645	000	v v	9 1 0
Dept. of Comm.	yes	7	0 -	-00	00	00
General Services Admin.	yes	4	n -	000	00	0 0

Agency plans:

The Department of Defense anticipates hiring 50 additional polygraph operators to permit 10,000 screening type examinations. <u>:</u>

2. The Postal Service expects to hire 3 additional operators.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency plans to institute polygraph examinations in the future, but the final decision is pending until legal issues involving the use of the polygraph are resolved.

The Tennessee Valley Authority does not anticipate using the polygraph in the future.

ancludes the Departments of Justice and Treasury, as reported to us last year. Current information concerning polygraph use by these agencies was not received in time for inclusion in the chart. ENCLOSURE Approved For Release 2008/11/19 : CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9

MAJORTY MEMBERS

JACK BROOKE, TEL, CHARRIM

DOMFER, PASCELL, R.A.

DOM FUGULA R.A.

JOHN CONVERE, I.A. MOR.

CARDIES COLLINE, I.L.

GLENN ENGLISH, ORLA.

ELIJOTT R. LEVITAR, GA.

HEINTY A. WALMAN, CALIF.

TED WEESE, R.Y.

IMME SYMAK, ORLA.

ETIPHEN I. MEA, I.C.

DOUG BANDAND, JR., GA.

BANNEY FRANK, MASS.

TOM LANTOS, CALIF.

ROMALD D. COLLINAN, TOL.

ROSERT E. W.Y.A.

BARBARA BOXER, CALIF.

SANDER M. LEVIER, MICH.

BUDDY MARKAY, R.A.

MEL LEVIER, CALIF.

MAJOR R. OWENE, R.Y.

JOHN M. SPRATT, JR., S.S.

JOH KOLTER, PA.

REN ESCRECK, ALA.

NINETY-EIGHTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States

Flouse of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

2157 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

April 4, 1984

PRANT MORTON ILY.
JOHN IL BRENNONL EL.
THOMAS IL EMBNISS. DIED
ROSSIT E. WALKER, PA.
LYLE WELMAM, OMD
WILLIAM F. CLINGER, JR., PA.
RATMOND J. MEGRATIK, ILY.
JUDO GREGG, NA.
DAN BLITTON, INS.
JOHN IL MERISHMAN, JR., MARKE
TOM LEWIS, PLA.
ALPRED A. JULI MECANDLESS, CALIF.

MAJORTY-225-5061

The Honorable Charles A. Bowsher Comptroller General General Accounting Office Washington, D.C. 20548

Dear General:

In January, H.R. 4681, the Federal Polygraph Limitation and Anti-Censorship Act of 1984, was introduced and referred to the Post Office and Civil Service Committee where it is now under active consideration. This bill is a comprehensive response to the Administration's polygraph and censorship policies, both the National Security Directive 84, issued by the President, and those designed and implemented independently at the agency level.

Recently, the President announced his intention to suspend the polygraph and prepublication censorship portions of his National Security Directive through this session of Congress. The effects, however, of this suspension on the polygraph and censorship policies which were not contained in the President's Directive are not clear. In order that this legislation be properly evaluated, it is necessary that Congress be fully apprised of the effects, if any, the suspension of the President's National Security Directive 84 has on the current polygraph and prepublication censorship policies in effect at the agencies of the Federal Government and on any proposed changes in those policies contemplated at this time.

To this end we request that the General Accounting Office update its survey of the Federal agencies conducted in preparation for hearings held last October by the Government Operations committee on these issues. Along with this update, it is requested that the GAO also make broader inquiry into the current use of polygraphs and prepublication censorship requirements by the agencies, any proposed changes in those policies and their likely effects, and the effect, if any, the President's suspension of his NSD 84 has on those current or proposed policies.

It is important that this investigation be given prompt attention. Congressional moritoriums on proposed changes to the Department of Defense's polygraph regulations and certain prepublication censorship requirements will expire on April 15. It is, therefore, hoped that you will find it possible to devote maximum staff resources in this effort. Thank you very much for your attention to this request. With every good wish, we are

WILLIAM D. FORD, Chairman Committee on the Post Office and Civil Service JACK BROOKS, Chairman Committee on Government Operations

Sincerely, Wood

Censorship Accol Approved For Release 2008/11/19: CIA-RDP90B01370R000300360065-9 By Thousands, Study Shows

By DAVID BURNHAM

WASHINGTON, June 13 - More dismayed at the findings." than 12,000 employees now working for the Federal Government have agreed in writing that for the rest of the Senate group opposed to prepublishe ilives they will submit for censor-cation review, said the "G.A.O. report ship any speech, article or book they imakes it clear that the issue of limiting product that concerns the conce produce that concerns the sources and censorship agreements is not dead."

methods of intelligence gathering.
The disclosure that tens of thousands of civilian and military employees have signed required censorship agreements came four months after strong objections in Congress prompted Presi-dent Reagan to withdraw a proposal for a somewhat broader censorship re-

All employees with access to certain intelligence secrets have been required to sign censorship agreements since early 1981 under what was then a new interpretation of an existing Prsidential executive order. Those required to sign include most top policy-makers as well as a number of clerks, secretaries and technicians.

43 Agencies Respond to Survey

The number of individuals who have signed the censorship agreement was made public today in a report prepared by the General Accounting Office, an investigative arm of Congress. The re investigative arm of Congress. The re-port, based on replies provided by 43 separate agencies, was prepared at the request of the chairmen of the House Government Operations Committee and the House Postal Office and Civil Service Committee.

Because of the special requirements of their work, the survey did not include the Central Intelligence Agency or the National Security Agency. Among other agencies that did not respond to the survey as of Dec. 31 were the Justice Department, the Department of Energy, the Office of Management and Budget and five other agen-

reat of Texas, chairman of the Government Operations Committee, said in a statement that he was "shocked and fied information was disclosed. The

E

Senator Charles McC. Mathias Jr., Republican of Maryland, a leader in

Mr. Mathias added, "The executive branch without any significant degree of consultation with Congress has put in place a program of censorship that is, on the basis of what we know now,

demonstrably not needed."
According to testimony before the
Senate Governmental Affairs Committee, the prepublication review require-ment was first imposed by the Reagan Administration in early 1981. Until then, officials given access to a special category of classified information had

category of classified information had been asked to sign an agreement saying only that they would not disclose it. In the proposal withdrawn by the Reagan Administration on Feb. 14, lifetime censorship would have been imposed on any official who was about to write or talk about "any information concerning intelligence activities, sources or methods."

sources or methods.

sources or methods."

The requirement that had been in place since 1981 has slightly different linguage. The existing requirement imposes lifetime censorship on any material concerning "intelligence sources or methods" of intelligence gathering. It does not include the phrase, "any information concerning intelligence activities." intelligence activities.

Total Incomplete

The accounting office said another reason the total number of people who had signed such an agreement was in-complete was that it did not include those officials who had signed agreeements but then retired or gone to other

jobs.
The accounting office also said that



Senator Charles McC. Mathias Jr., a leader in the group opposed to Government censorship of materials written by Federal employees.

agencies aid 34 of these instances were not submitted to the Justice Department for investigation.

The accounting office report said there had been a sharp increase in the number of articles and books being reviewed by the Reagan Administration in the past year or so. It said that the Defense Department reviewed 2,784 articles and books in 1981, 6,457 in 1982 and 10,088 in 1983.

At the time Mr. Reagan withdrew the new censorship proposal in February, and left the existing requirement in place, several Administration officials said the suspension was aimed at eliminating a potential political problem caused by widespread criticism of the

far reaching order.

One official said the White House hoped "to remove it as a sore spot, a source of controversy" in an election

Mr. Brooks has introduced legislation that would prohibit the Governtion that would promote the Govern-ment from imposing any prepublica-tion review requirement and rescind any such requirements then in effect except for employees of the C.I.A. and the N.S.A.

By IRVIN MOLOTSKY

cial to The New York Tim WASHINGTON, June 13 — Blue Cross and Blue Shield today announced guidelines intended to reduce the incidence of unnecessary X-ray and similar diagnostic procedures, thereby controlling costs for medical care of the insurers' 80 million subscribers.

The program is to begin with an educational effort to convince physicians that they should not use certain WASHINGTON, June 13 -

educational effort to convince payar-cians that they should not use certain procedures, but it is to lead at an un-stated future date to the end of pay-

stated nature date to the end of pay-ments for the disapproved procedures. According to Bernard R. Tresnow-ski, president of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association, a similar program instituted two years ago in respi-ratory treatment reduced costs \$22 mil-

lion a year.

Mr. Tresnowski said that the use of diagnostic images, such as ultrasound, mammography and radionuclide mammography and radionuclide scans, was increasing rapidly and that scans, was increasing rapidly and that much of it was unnecessary or duplica-tive of other procedures. Under the new guidelines, for example, ultrasound would be used on pregnant women only when a specific problem was suspect-

Diagnosis Costs Are High

There were 200 million such images produced last year at a cost of \$7 billion, and an increase of \$ percent is expected this year, but Mr. Tresnowski said it was "almost impossible to tell" how many of these procedures were unpossessary. necessary.

Lawrence C. Morris, Blue Cross Blue Shield's senior vice president for professional and provider affairs, was also present at a news conference at the insurers' headquarters here. He

the insurers' headquarters here. He was able to provide only an estimate that unnecessary procedures might account for 1 or 2 percent of the \$7 billion, or \$70 million to \$140 million a year. Dr. Ervin E. Nichols, director of practice activities at the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, appeared at the meeting to endorse the program. He said that there were 3.5 million deliveries in the United States each year and that ultrasound, which provides information on

both the condition of the fetus as

ooth the condition of the retus and of the mother, was being used in 4 cent of the pregnancies.
"Ten to 30 percent of them must be indicated," Dr. Nichols said ing, "That's a wild guess."
"We are aware," he said, "the of these are done by neonle who a

of these are done by people who as by their neighbors, 'You ought to of these are done by people who a by their neighbors, "You ought to picture of your baby.""

The ultrasound pictures mak ous additions to baby albums, h but many of them serve no n

purpose.

Routine Tests Not Affects

In response to questions, by Tresnowski and Dr. Gerald chairman of the board of chance the American College of Rasaid that the guidelines would said that the guidelines would fect routine screening avail some Blue Cross-Blue Shield some Blue Cross-Blue Snield such as mammograms recei-tinely by many women after it 40 to detect breast cancer ea: The Blue Cross-Blue Shield I

The Blue Cross-Blue snield I said that the program, part of group calls its medical necessilines, had the support of the / College of Obstetricians and (gists, the American College of ans, the American Academ gy, the American Colle cians, the American TAT clear Physicians, the American Clear Physicians, the American Medicine, the American Medicine, the American Medicine, the American Medicine M

sary use of such technology spread because new devibeing used in addition to (when, in fact, they were desi place them.

place them.

These are some of the githe new policy as summari Tresnowaki:

Breast Cancer. Neither phy nor diaphanography mended. X-ray mammogra needed when a breast mainancy is suspected. Ultrast needed when mammograpare inconclusive.

are inconclusive. CAT Scans. A scan usin ized axial tomography, a



